PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

DEC 23 2003

SCIENTIFIC-ATLANTA, INC. LEGAL DEPARTMENT

From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

KENNETH M. MASSARONI

| SCIENTIFIC-ATLANTA, INC. | | | 101 |
|--|--|----------------------------------|--|
| INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT | | | |
| 5030 SUGARLOAF PARKWAY LAWRENCEVILLE, GA 30044 | | WRITTEN OPINION | |
| | | | (PCT Rule 66) Deadline = 2/16/0 16 DEC 2003 |
| | | Date of Mailing (day/month/year) | 16 DEC 2003 |
| Applicant's or agent's file reference | | REPLY DUE | |
| F-7597-PC | | | within 2 months/days from the above date of mailing |
| International application No. | International filing date | | Priority date (day/month/year) |
| PCT/US02/40828 | 20 December 2002 (20. | 12 2002) | 31 December 2001 (31.12.2001) |
| International Patent Classification (IPC) | | | 31 December 2001 (31.12.2001) |
| IPC(7): H04N 5/91 and US Cl.: 386/68 | | | |
| Applicant | | | |
| SCIENTIFIC-ATLANTA, INC. | | | |
| | | | |
| This written opinion is the fin | est (first, etc.) drawn by | this International Pre | liminary Examining Authority. |
| This opinion contains indicate | ions relating to the follow | ing items: | |
| I Basis of the opinion | | | |
| II Priority | | | |
| III Non-establishmen | | | |
| IV Lack of unity of i | nvention | | |
| V Reasoned stateme | | | |
| | anations supporting such | | |
| VI Certain document | s cited | | |
| VII Certain defects in the international applicati | | ion | |
| VIII Certain observation | ons on the international ap | plication | |
| 3. The applicant is hereby invit | ed to reply to this opinion | 1. | |
| | limit indicated above. The residence of the resident an extension. See | | ore the expiration of that time limit, request |
| | g a written reply, accompa and the language of the a | | riate, by amendments, according to Rule 66.3. |
| Also For an additional opportunity to submit amendments, see Rule 66.4. For the examiner's obligation to consider amendments and/or arguments, see Rule 66.4 bis. For an informal communication with the examiner, see Rule 66.6 | | | |
| If no reply is filed, the international preliminary examination report will be established on the basis of this opinion. | | | |
| 4. The final date by which the international preliminary examination report must be established according to Rule 69.2 is: 30 April 2004 (30.04.2004) | | | |
| Name and mailing address of the IPEA | A/US | Authorized office | |
| Mail Stop PCT, Attn: IPEA/US Commissioner for Patents | | 1 2 00 | Warn d' Mand |
| P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 | | Bob Chevalier | JUWI), VVWV |
| Alexandria, Virginia 225 15-1450 | | Yelephone No. 7 | 03-306-0377 |

Facsimile No. (703)305-3230

Form PCT/IPEA/408 (cover sheet)(July 1998)

WRITTEN OPINION

| International application No. | _ |
|-------------------------------|---|
| DCT/11002/40929 | |

| Basis of the opinion | I. |
|---|----|
| With regard to the elements of the international application:* | 1. |
| the international application as originally filed the description: pages 1-20, as originally filed pages NONE, filed with the demand pages NONE, filed with the letter of the claims: pages NONE, as originally filed pages NONE, as originally filed pages NONE, as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19 pages 21-23, filed with the demand pages NONE, filed with the letter of | |
| the drawings: pages 1-5 , as originally filed pages 6 , filed with the demand pages NONE , filed with the letter of the sequence listing part of the description: pages NONE , as originally filed pages NONE , filed with the demand pages NONE , filed with the demand pages NONE , filed with the letter of | |
| With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language which is: the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule23.1(b)). the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)). the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination(under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3). | 2. |
| With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the written opinion was drawn on the basis of the sequence listing: | 3. |
| contained in the international application in printed form. filed together with the international application in computer readable form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form. The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished. The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished. | |
| The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of: the description, pages NONE the claims, Nos. 5, 9-10, 12-17, 29-47 the description about/fig NONE | 4. |
| the drawings, sheets/fig NONE This opinion has been drawn as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)). | 5. |
| Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in its opinion as "originally filed." | |

WRITTEN OPINION

International application No. PCT/US02/40828

| V. Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| 1. STATEMENT | | | | |
| Novelty (N) | Claims | 8, 13-14, 17-19 | YES | |
| , , | | 1-7, 9-12, 15-16 | NO | |
| | | | | |
| Inventive Step (IS) | | 8, 13-14, 17-19 | YES | |
| | Claims | 1-7, 9-12, 15-16 | NO | |
| Industrial Applicability (IA) | Claims | 1-19 | YES | |
| maistim rippietomy (iri) | | NONE | NO | |
| | | | | |
| the feature of storing video stream containing dependent of decoding a plurality of undecoded dependent frames the decoded frame responsive to receiving a request for Lane et al. Because, Lane et al discloses that subset of data would include interframe data. Therefore, the interoperation. (See Lane et al's column 37, lines 56-67). With regard to claims 3-6, the feature of the video streat. (See the intraframe data shown in the normal play of With regard to claims 9-12, the feature of the P-frame data With regard to claims 9-12, the feature of the undecode specified would have been present in Lane et al. (See L With regard to claims 15-16, the feature of the fast play al's Figure 11, component 422). Claims 1-19 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33 be made or used in industry. NEW CITATIONS NONE Form PCT/IPEA/408 (Box V) (July 1998) | at frames in correspond a trick monormal play arrived data shown is recited the ded dependent ane et al's y mode of (4), and the | ting to the video stream to create a decoded frame and de of operation as specified thereof would have been py data are being used as trick play data and that the not would be part of the trick play data reproduced during independent frame data as specified thereof is present in column 31, lines 53-55). Thereof is present in Lane et al. (See Lane et al's Figure 31 trames being determined on the type of the video structure of the column 51, lines 1-9). Thereof is present in Lane et al. (See Lane et al's Figure 32 trames being determined on the type of the video structure of the video struc | O), the feature couputting present in rmal play g trick play ent in Lane et 5). The couputting present in rmal play g trick play ent in Lane et (5). The couput from the feature from the featu | |

| WRITTEN | OPINION |
|---------|---------|
| | |

International application No. PCT/US02/40828

| Supplemental Box (To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient) | |
|--|---|
| TIME LIMIT: The time limit set for respons expiration of the time limit se Report. | e to a Written Opinion may not be extended. 37 CFR 1.484(d). Any response received after the t in the Written Opinion will not be considered in preparing the International Preliminary Examination |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

Form PCT/IPEA/408 (Supplemental Box) (July 1998)